

Proposal Title :	Planning Proposal for 55-63	Smith Street, Summer Hill		
Proposal Summary	The planning proposal seeks to amend the Ashfield Local Environmental Plan 2013 (Ashfield LEP 2013) by amending "Schedule 1 – Additional Permitted Uses" for 55-63 Smith Street, Summer Hill to allow the following uses on the site: • Office premises; • Business premises; • Recreation facility (indoor); and • Self storage units.			
PP Number :	PP_2017_IWEST_003_00	Dop File No :	17/02214	
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	24-Jan-2017	LGA covered :	Inner West	
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Inner West Council	
State Electorate :	MARRICKVILLE	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details				
Street : 55	-63 Smith Street			
Suburb : Su	mmer Hill City :	Sydney	Postcode: 2130	
Land Parcel : Lo	t 13 DP560, Lot 1 DP 796910, Lo	t 1 DP 905473		
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Douglas Cunningham			
Contact Number :	0292746357			
Contact Email :	douglas.cunningham@plannin	ig.nsw.gov.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ils			
Contact Name :	Con Colot	9		
Contact Number :	0291761977			
Contact Email :	Con.Colot@innerwest.nsw.gov	/.au		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Martin Cooper			
Contact Number :	0292746582			
	martin.cooper@planning.nsw.			

and Release Data		
Growth Centre :	ā:	Release Area Name :
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :
MDP Number :		Date of Release
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings 0 (where relevant) :
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created : 0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes	
If No, comment :		
Have the set	N	
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο	
If Yes, comment :	-	ning and Environment is not aware of any meetings or gistered lobbyists concerning this proposal.
upporting notes		
Internal Supporting Notes :		s supported as it ensures the planning controls reflect the current land for storage, manufacturing, warehousing and commercial
External Supporting Notes :		eeks amend the Ashfield LEP 2013 to enable the use of office nises, recreation facilities (indoor) and self storage units at 55—63 ill.
	manufacturing purposes Street which operates as the ground floor and a re LEP 1985, 55-63 Smith w to "2C — Residential" wi	are currently located on the site. All are used for warehousing and s, with the exception of the building at the front of 61-63 Smith a a mixed use development comprising a commercial operation on esidential dwelling on the first floor. Under the previous Ashfield ras originally zoned as a "Light Industrial" site, and later rezoned th the buildings currently on the site reflecting the previous light is an ongoing reliance on using 'existing use rights' to continue
	manufacturing purposes Street which operates as the ground floor and a re LEP 1985, 55-63 Smith w to "2C — Residential" wi industrial zoning. There i using the sites for light in The site is zoned R3 Med multi dwelling housing, a	are currently located on the site. All are used for warehousing and s, with the exception of the building at the front of 61-63 Smith a a mixed use development comprising a commercial operation on esidential dwelling on the first floor. Under the previous Ashfield ras originally zoned as a "Light Industrial" site, and later rezoned th the buildings currently on the site reflecting the previous light is an ongoing reliance on using 'existing use rights' to continue
equacy Assessmen	manufacturing purposes Street which operates as the ground floor and a re LEP 1985, 55-63 Smith w to "2C — Residential" wi industrial zoning. There is using the sites for light in The site is zoned R3 Med multi dwelling housing, a commercial premises, re	are currently located on the site. All are used for warehousing and a, with the exception of the building at the front of 61-63 Smith a mixed use development comprising a commercial operation on esidential dwelling on the first floor. Under the previous Ashfield as originally zoned as a "Light Industrial" site, and later rezoned th the buildings currently on the site reflecting the previous light is an ongoing reliance on using 'existing use rights' to continue ndustrial purposes.
	manufacturing purposes Street which operates as the ground floor and a re LEP 1985, 55-63 Smith w to "2C — Residential" wi industrial zoning. There is using the sites for light in The site is zoned R3 Med multi dwelling housing, a commercial premises, re	are currently located on the site. All are used for warehousing and a, with the exception of the building at the front of 61-63 Smith a mixed use development comprising a commercial operation on esidential dwelling on the first floor. Under the previous Ashfield as originally zoned as a "Light Industrial" site, and later rezoned th the buildings currently on the site reflecting the previous light is an ongoing reliance on using 'existing use rights' to continue ndustrial purposes.
tatement of the ob	manufacturing purposes Street which operates as the ground floor and a re LEP 1985, 55-63 Smith w to "2C — Residential" wi industrial zoning. There is using the sites for light in The site is zoned R3 Med multi dwelling housing, a commercial premises, re	are currently located on the site. All are used for warehousing and a, with the exception of the building at the front of 61-63 Smith a mixed use development comprising a commercial operation on esidential dwelling on the first floor. Under the previous Ashfield as originally zoned as a "Light Industrial" site, and later rezoned th the buildings currently on the site reflecting the previous light is an ongoing reliance on using 'existing use rights' to continue ndustrial purposes.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment

- The planning proposal seeks to amend "Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses" for 55-63 Smith Street, Summer Hill to allow the following uses on the site:
- office premises;
- business premises;
- recreation facility (indoor); and
- · self storage units.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

- b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :
- * May need the Director General's agreement
- 3.1 Residential Zones3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
- 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land

A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNEY

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

The planning proposal is considered consistent with Goal 1– A competitive economy with world-class services and transport. The proposal maintains and increases employment opportunities close to residential areas whilst providing jobs in easily accessible areas. The site is close to Liverpool Road, a main thoroughfare road, and has good access to public transport.

The planning proposal is considered consistent with Goal 2– A city of housing choice, with homes that meet our needs and lifestyles. The proposal allows for the continuation of residential use on site, whilst also supporting the existing uses of the site.

DRAFT CENTRAL DISTRICT PLAN

The draft District plans, which were released on 21 November 2016, note that employment and urban services zoned land supports activities that are central to Sydney's productivity, sustainability and liveability. Employment and urban services are transitioning away from "traditional" industries towards high technology and emerging industries.

The proposal does not include an assessment against the draft Central District Plan, however the following priorities are considered relevant to the proposal:

• Productive Priority 3 - Manage growth and change in strategic and district centres and, as relevant, local centres; and

• Productive Priority 5 - Protect and support employment and urban services land.

The proposal is considered consistent with these priorities as it will:

• protect and support sites currently used for business and employment uses;

increases the number of local jobs in a local centre; and

 deliveries jobs close to existing residential areas, that has good public transport service.

Should the proposal proceed to Gateway, it will be conditioned to update the proposal to include an assessment of the proposal against the relevant priorities of the draft Central District Plan.

SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS Direction 3.1 Residential Zones

The objectives of this direction are to encourage a variety and choice of housing types to provide for existing and future housing needs, whilst making efficient use of existing infrastructure and services and that the impact of residential development on the environment and resource lands is minimal.

The proposal states consistency with this direction because the subject site is not predominately used for residential purposes and will not limit the sites ability to be used for residential purposes in the future. The Department agrees that the proposal is consistent with this direction as the proposed changes do not contain provisions which will reduce the permissible residential density of land.

ASHFIELD URBAN PLANNING STRATEGY 2010

The Ashfield Urban Planning Strategy 2010 was adopted by Council to inform the Ashfield LEP 2013 and aimed to assist decision making in terms of future infrastructure projects, population growth and economic investment within the local government area. Of particular relevance is the 'Foster Local Business' Direction. The proposal states consistency with this direction as it will ensure the flexible use of the site, allowing it to continue to be used for commercial, industrial and residential uses.

It is agreed that the proposal is consistent with the strategy as it will ensure that planning controls provide opportunities for businesses whilst ensuring the site has flexibility to be used for residential and business opportunities in the future.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? No

Comment : No maps are required as part of this proposal.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : It is recommended that the proposal be exhibited for 28 days.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment : Yes, the proposal is considered adequate and sufficient detail has been provided

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : December 2013

Comments in relation Ashfield LEP 2013 was published on 23 December 2013. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planningThe site comprises of a number of buildings which have historically and presently beenproposal :used for a combination of storage, manufacturing, warehousing and commercial uses. All

	of these uses are currently prohibited on the site under the Ashfield LEP 2013, as the site is zoned R3 Medium Density Residential. The planning proposal seeks to amend the "Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses" of the Ashfield LEP 2013 to permit site specific additional uses of office premises, business premises, recreation facilities (indoor) and self storage units, to reflect the existing uses of the site.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	This planning proposal is generally consistent with A Plan for Growing Sydney and the Draft Central District Plan. The planning proposal is largely administrative and does not propose any changes to the strategic direction of the Ashfield Local Environmental Plan 2013.
Environmental social economic impacts :	It is agreed that there are no known critical habitats or threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats which will be affected by the proposal. It is considered that there will be no adverse environmental, social and economic effects
	as a consequence of the planning proposal.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)			Þ		
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional s	studies, if required.				
If Other, provide reasor	ns :				
Identify any internal cor	nsultations, if required	:			
No internal consultation	on required				
Is the provision and fun	iding of state infrastruc	ture relevant	to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :			×		
cuments					
Document File Name		×	DocumentType Na	ame	Is Public
Cover Letter.pdf			Proposal Coverin	g Letter	Yes
Council Resolution.pd			Proposal		Yes
Planning Proposal - Pa	-		Proposal		Yes
Planning Proposal - Pa	•		Proposal		Yes
Planning Proposal - Pa	art 3.pdf		Proposal		Yes

Planning Proposal - Part 4.pdf		Proposal	Yes		
Planning Proposal - Par	t 5.pdf	Proposal	Yes		
Planning Proposal - Part 6.pdf		Proposal	Yes		
nning Team Recom	nendation				
Preparation of the planni	ng proposal supported at tl	nis stage : Recommended with Conditions			
S.117 directions	3.1 Residential Zones				
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport				
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions				
	7.1 Implementation of A	A Plan for Growing Sydney			
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceeds subject to the following conditions:				
	a. include a plain Eng b. amend the plannin	e planning proposal is to be updated to: lish explanation of the intent of the plann g proposal to include a discussion regard draft Central District Plan.			
	2. The planning propos	al must be made publicly available for a n	ninimum of 28 days.		
	3. Consultation is requi	red with the Office of Environment and Heritage			
	4. A public hearing is n	ot required under 56(2)(e).			
	5. The timeframe for co	mpleting the LEP is to be 9 months.			
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported as it:				
	 ensures the planning controls reflect the existing use of the land; 				
	 protects the ability to use the sites for residential uses in the future; and does not decrease the current employment or residential potential of the land. 				
	• uses not decrease the	current employment or residential poten	uar or the land.		
Signature	M.e	ogg			
	Act of the second s	V			
Printed Name:	MARTIN COO	PER Date: 9/02/	2017		
Finted Name:		Date.			